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Microglia Overexpressing the Macrophage Colony-Stimulating Factor Receptor Are Neuroprotective in a Microglial–Hippocampal Organotypic Coculture System
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Microglia with increased expression of the macrophage colony-stimulating factor receptor (M-CSFR; c-fms) are found surrounding plaques in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and in mouse models for AD and after ischemic or traumatic brain injury. Increased expression of M-CSFR causes microglia to adopt an activated state that results in proliferation, release of cytokines, and enhanced phagocytosis. To determine whether M-CSFR-induced microglial activation affects neuronal survival, we assembled a coculture system consisting of BV-2 microglia transfectted to overexpress the M-CSFR and hippocampal organotypic slices treated with NMDA. Twenty-four hours after assembly of the coculture, microglia overexpressing M-CSFR proliferated at a higher rate than nontransfected control cells and exhibited enhanced migration toward NMDA-injured hippocampal cultures. Surprisingly, coculture with c-fms-transfected microglia resulted in a dramatic reduction in NMDA-induced neurotoxicity. Similar results were observed when cocultures were treated with the teratogen cyclophosphamide. Biologic overexpression of M-CSFR on microglia endogenously to the organotypic culture also rescued neurons from excitotoxicity. Furthermore, c-fms-transfected microglia increased neuronal expression of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), the M-CSFR, and neurotrophin receptors in the NMDA-treated slices, as determined with laser capture microdissection. In the coculture system, direct contact between the exogenous microglia and the slice was necessary for neuroprotection. Finally, blocking expression of the M-CSF ligand by exogenous c-fms-transfected microglia with a hammerhead ribozyme compromised their neuroprotective properties. These results demonstrate a protective role for microglia overexpressing M-CSFR in our coculture system and suggest under certain circumstances, activated microglia can help rather than harm neurons subjected to excitotoxic and teratogen-induced injury.
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Introduction

Microglia play an important role during injury and infection in the nervous system. After ischemic or traumatic injury and in demyelinating diseases, microglia remove cellular debris by phagocytosis (Carson, 2002; Danton and Dietrich, 2003). During CNS bacterial and viral infections, microglia recruit leukocytes, express major histocompatibility complex class II antigens, and present antigen as part of the T-cell immune response (Nelson et al., 2002). Microglia may also inhibit the growth of CNS parasites (Luder et al., 1999). All of these actions are potentially beneficial. However, microglia may have negative effects. There is substantial evidence that activated microglia produce potentially toxic cytokines, reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, prostaglandins, and other factors that may injure neurons already compromised by disease. For example, in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), microglia activated by the amyloid β (Aβ) peptide express proinflammatory cytokines, complement, nitric oxide, and superoxide free radicals that contribute to neurodegeneration (McGeer and McGeer, 2002). Similarly, after stroke, activated microglia may accelerate the death of injured neurons by secreting neurotoxic compounds, as well as recruiting large numbers of potentially neurotoxic leukocytes into the brain (Danton and Dietrich, 2003). During CNS infection, microglial-initiated leukocyte invasion, although potentially beneficial at first, may become fulminating and hence play a negative role during CNS infection (Nau and Bruck, 2002). Activated microglia may actually promote the growth of certain CNS neoplasia (Graeber et al., 2002). Clearly, microglia may have both beneficial and detrimental effects in neurologic disease.

Macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) is a hematopoietic cytokine that is expressed in brain by neurons and glia. M-CSF induces microglial proliferation and activation and results in expression of a variety of proinflammatory effectors. Interestingly, although M-CSF activates microglia, this cytokine has...
also been shown to have neuroprotective properties both in vivo and in cell culture (Berezovskaya et al., 1996; Fedoroff et al., 1997; Brucoleri and Harry, 2000; Vincent et al., 2002a). The M-CSF receptor (M-CSFR) encoded by the proto-oncogene c-fms is upregulated on microglia after traumatic and ischemic brain injury and in AD and in transgenic mouse models for AD (Raivich et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1999; Murphy et al., 2000). We showed previously that increased microglial expression of the M-CSFR on cultured microglia and on microglia in organotypic hippocampal cultures induces microglial production of proinflammatory cytokines and nitric oxide, as well as promoting phagocytosis of Aβ (Mitrasinovic and Murphy, 2002, 2003; Mitrasinovic et al., 2004). In the present study, we sought to determine the effects of microglial overexpression of c-fms on the survival of neurons subjected to excitotoxic injury and to injury induced by the teratogen cyclophosphamide (CP). Increased microglial M-CSF expression has been linked to CP neurotoxicity (Hao et al., 2002). Because the endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) has been used to stimulate microglial activation and microglial-induced neurotoxicity (Kim and Ko, 1998; Wang et al., 2002; Xie et al., 2004), some BV-2 cells were treated with 5 × 10⁶ cells/cm² in six-well culture plates and treated with the plasmid complex containing 0.2 μg of simian virus 40-promoted c-fms (pTK1) plasmid or the pZeosV control plasmid (lacking c-fms cDNA) preincubated with the Lipofectamine Plus reagent. Another control condition was BV-2 cells treated with transfection medium containing Lipofectamine Plus alone. We showed previously that transfection of BV-2 cells with the pTK1 c-fms plasmid results in an 75-fold increase in M-CSF mRNA, as well as increased M-CSFR protein, and a 2.2-fold increase in microglial expression of the ligand, M-CSF (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001). Transfection efficiency is ~90% (data not shown). To compare the effects of c-fms transfection with that of endotoxin, a classical stimulus for microglial activation that can induce microglial injury to monotypic neuronal cultures (Kim and Ko, 1998; Wang et al., 2002; Xie et al., 2004), some BV-2 cells were treated with 5 μg/ml LPS (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). After 24 h of transfection or LPS treatment, cells were detached from the wells by mechanical pipetting, quantified, and used for assembly of the organotypic coculture.

**Excitotoxicity.** Neuronal toxicity was induced with NMDA (Tocris Cookson, Ballwin, MO), introduced into hippocampal growth medium at final concentrations of 5 or 100 μM at the time of the coculture assembly. Cocultures were treated with NMDA for 24 h, except where indicated otherwise in the figure. Pilot studies showed that c-fms-transfected microglia proliferated rapidly in coculture with NMDA-treated slice cultures. To test whether protection against NMDA toxicity conferred by c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells in coculture might be merely a result of increased cell numbers, we assembled cocultures containing the same density of wild-type BV-2 cells as found in c-fms-transfected cocultures after 24 h of NMDA treatment (6.0 × 10⁵ cells/cm²). These were compared with cocultures containing the standard starting density of BV-2 cells (4 × 10⁵ cells/cm²).

**Teratogen-induced neurotoxicity.** It was reported that conditioned me-
dium from neurons treated with the neurotoxic tetranogen compound CP induces microglial expression of the M-CSFR, and it was hypothesized that this promotes microglial neurotoxicity (Hao et al., 2002). To test directly the role of increased microglial M-CSFR in CP-induced neurotoxicity, cyclophosphamide monohydrate (Sigma) was dissolved in nuclese-free water (Promega, Madison, WI) at a concentration of 10 μg/ml and was added to the coculture at a final concentration of 1 μg/ml for 30 min at 37°C after assembly of the coculture system (Hao et al., 2001, 2002). Seeding density and coculture conditions were the same as described above for NMDA experiments.

**Propidium iodide labeling.** At the end of neurotoxin treatment, 2.5 μl of propidium iodide solution (PI; 1 mg/ml; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) was added to the growth medium (1.2 ml), and coculture plates were returned to the incubator for an additional 30 min. Labeling reactions were stopped by removing the growth medium, after which sections were washed twice with PBS buffer and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature before finally being washed four times with PBS. The Millicell membrane was cut from the insert and mounted on a glass slide using the SlowFade Antifade kit (Molecular Probes). Microscopy was performed on an Axioskop 2FS microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) in the cyamine 3 channel with a 10× objective. After image acquisition, quantitative analysis was performed with MetaMorph 5.0 software (Universal Imaging Corporation, West Chester, PA). PI fluorescence was quantified as integral regional density, which corresponds to the intensity of the PI emitted fluorescence per unit area after subtraction of the background fluorescence. All comparative measurements were obtained using identical acquisition settings and mercury lamp intensity. Mercury lamp intensity was preset based on the highest intensity image to prevent pixel saturation.

**FluoroJade staining.** After 24 h of coculture, hippocampal slices with BV-2 cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed three times with PBS, and cryoprotected at 4°C in 20% sucrose before freezing. Cultures were then sectioned on a cryostat at 15 μm and mounted on glass slides. FluoroJade staining was performed following the protocol of Schmued et al. (1997). Slides were first air dried for 30 min, then treated with 100% ethanol for 3 min, 70% ethanol for 1 min, and washed with distilled water (dH2O) for 1 min. Subsequently, sections were incubated with 0.06% potassium permanganate for 15 min with gentle shaking and then washed with dH2O for 1 min. FluoroJade (Chemicon, Temecula, CA) solution was prepared as 0.001% in dH2O containing 0.1% acetic acid. Slides were incubated with the FluoroJade solution for 30 min at room temperature and then washed three times with distilled H2O. After air drying for 30 min, slides were rapidly immersed in xylene and then coverslipped before confocal microscopy.

**Biologic transfections of the organotypic cultures.** Hippocampal sections were prepared as described above and after 7 d were biologically transfected with a CD11b-c-fms plasmid or a CD11b–enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) construct or the P2eSv control vector using a Helios Gene Gun system, as described previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2004). The CD11b promoter specifically targets microglia endogenous to the organotypic hippocampal cultures and results in increased expression of microglial M-CSFR. After biologic transfection, slices were treated with 100 μM NMDA and returned to the incubator. After 24 h, sections were treated with PI for neurotoxicity assessment.

**Cell proliferation assay.** The number of exogenous microglia in coculture was monitored at 24 and 48 h. BV-2 microglia were removed from the coculture by trypsinization for 4 min and then collected by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm for 4 min. Cells were resuspended in PBS buffer and quantified with an automatic particle counter (Beckman Coulter, Miami, FL) using 4 μm as the particle threshold as described previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001). Each sample was counted three times, and each treatment was repeated three times.

**M-CSF-deficient microglia.** An anti-M-CSF hammerhead ribozyme catalytic RNA oligonucleotide was designed with Mfold software (Zucker, 2003). The sequence of the ribozyme is shown in Figure 1C (custom synthesized; Dharmacon Corporation, Boulder, CO). BV-2 microglia were grown to 5 × 10⁴ cells per well in a six-well tissue culture plate and treated with 2 μg of the anti-M-CSF RNA oligonucleotide incubated with 15 μl of Oligofectamine (Invitrogen) reagent at 37°C for 30 min. Cells were simultaneously cotransfected with the c-fms plasmid as described above. Control transfections were performed using an RNA oligonucleotide with the same sequence but synthesized in the reverse 5′ to 3′ orientation. After 24 h, cotransfected BV-2 microglia were used for coculture assembly. Subsequent treatments and outcome measures were identical to those described above.

**RNA isolation, reverse transcription, and real-time quantitative PCR.** Total RNA was extracted from BV-2 cells using Trizol (Invitrogen). Reverse transcription (RT) was performed with SuperScript reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). To quantify M-CSF and c-fms mRNA in BV-2 cells, we used a real-time PCR assay with SYBR Green (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), as described previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001).

**Laser capture microdissection.** Pure samples of hippocampal neurons from microglial–organotypic cocultures were obtained as described previously (Vincent et al., 2002b), with some modifications. Cultures were frozen on dry ice, sectioned at 15 μm thickness, and mounted on glass slides for membrane-based laser capture. To visualize the neuronal layer, sections were stained with hematoxylin as described. Individual neurons were subsequently captured using a Leica Microsystems (Bannockburn, IL) AS LMD laser microdissection system. An average of 30 neuronal cells was collected from each slice culture, evenly distributed throughout the CA1–CA3 neuronal layer. Total RNA was extracted from captured neurons using the Absolutely RNA MicroPrep kit (Stratagene, Cedar Creek, TX) and reverse transcribed using Sensiscript (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) as described previously (Vincent et al., 2002b). Real-time PCR with SYBR Green was performed as described previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001). All PCR was performed in quadruplicate. To confirm that neuronal cells had been captured, we tested for neurofilament heavy chain (NF-H) expression as described previously (Vincent et al., 2002b). To test for glial contamination, RNA samples were assessed with real-time RT-PCR for expression of the astrocyte markers GFAP and S100β and the microglial marker CD11b. We then tested for neuronal expression of M-CSF, M-CSFR, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), and the BDNF receptor variants neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor type 2 (NTRK2) full-length and NTRK2 T1 (truncated). Primer sequences used for real-time SYBR Green PCR are presented as supplemental material (available at www.jneurosci.org). To determine expression of the full-length (M55291) and truncated (M55292) NTRK2 splice variants, sequences were aligned, and nonoverlapping domains were used to design primers for differentiation of isoforms. Neuronal RNA samples captured from cocultures containing control, LPS-treated, and c-fms-transfected BV-2 microglia were compared. Gene expression fold changes between experimental conditions were calculated using the standard curve method [user bulletin 2 (1997); Applied Biosystems]. To adjust for RNA loading, we quantified glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase expression in each sample.

**Statistical analyses.** Data were analyzed using one- and two-way ANOVAs. Post hoc comparisons between means were performed using the Scheffé correction to control for type I error. All data points represent a minimum of three experimental replicates. For neuroprotection experiments, each data point represents a minimum of six replicates, each replicate consisting of data from three individual slice cultures.

**Results**

Microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR were found to be neuroprotective. Figure 2 illustrates the effects of overexpression of the M-CSFR by exogenous microglia on NMDA-induced neurotoxicity in organotypic hippocampal cultures. A two-way ANOVA revealed a significant interaction between the presence or absence of NMDA and microglial treatment/transfection condition (p < 0.0001). Cocultures containing microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR and treated with NMDA showed significantly less neurotoxicity (Scheffé-corrected p < 0.05) than hippocampal cultures treated with NMDA, NMDA-treated cultures grown with BV-2 cells transfected with the control plasmid, and NMDA-treated cocultures containing exogenous microglia pretreated with LPS. There were no significant differences in neurotoxicity.
expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as macrophage from excitotoxic injury in coculture, we examined the expression of M-CSFR (Fig. 3A). In PI-stained sections, BV-2 cells surrounding the organotypic culture never showed any signal (Fig. 3B; compare with phase-contrast images in Fig. 5A). PI fluorescence was limited to the neuronal layer, and no signal was observed in neuronal regions of the hippocampus despite the presence of numerous overlying BV-2 cells. To verify that PI signal was caused by nerve-cell injury and not caused by PI uptake by other cells endogenous to the organotypic culture, we examined FluoroJade as a highly specific marker for neuronal injury. Results showed strong FluoroJade staining in sections from hippocampal slices treated with NMDA and cultured with wild-type BV-2 cells (Fig. 3D) but little staining in slices cocultured with BV-2 cells overexpressing M-CSFR (Fig. 3C).

Because LPS-pretreated BV-2 cells failed to protect neurons from excitotoxic injury in coculture, we examined the expression profile of these cells. Previously, we showed that LPS induces the expression of proinflammatory cytokines such as macrophage inflammatory protein-1α (MIP-1α) by BV-2 cells (Murphy et al., 1995). Transfection with the c-fms construct also induces MIP-1α production by BV-2 cells (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001). However, in the present study, LPS treatment of BV-2 cells resulted in no significant change in expression of M-CSF (p > 0.10) and actually downregulated the expression of M-CSF by 51% (p < 0.05) in comparison with untreated cells. This is in contrast to c-fms transfection, which results in increased M-CSF and M-CSFR expression (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001).

To test whether neuroprotection conferred by c-fms-transfected microglia occurred only in the coculture system, we used biolistics to induce c-fms overexpression in microglia endogenous to the organotypic culture, as demonstrated previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2004). Biolistic transfection with the CD11b/c-fms construct resulted in a significant average reduction of over 94% in neurotoxicity compared with control plasmid and CD11b/EGFP transfections (Fig. 4) (Scheffé-corrected p < 0.05). In fact, there was no significant difference between mean CD11b/c-fms-induced PI staining and that observed in untreated organotypic cultures.

We observed increased numbers of c-fms transfected BV-2 cells near organotypic slices in the coculture system after NMDA treatment (Fig. 5A, middle). In untreated cocultures, BV-2 cells transfected to overexpress c-fms showed significantly greater proliferation after 24 and 48 h than both control vector-transfected cells and LPS-treated cells (Fig. 5B, left) (Scheffé-corrected p < 0.05). A similar pattern was seen in coculture with organotypic slices treated with NMDA (Fig. 5B, right), although at both 24 and 48 h, c-fms-induced proliferation was significantly greater than in cocultures not treated with NMDA (t tests; p < 0.01 for both).

We tested whether neuroprotection was a function of cell density by coculturing wild-type BV-2 cells at a density equivalent to that observed with c-fms-transfected cells, which proliferate rapidly. NMDA-induced neurotoxicity in cocultures containing a higher density of wild-type BV-2 cells was 84.4% (SD, 35.0) of that in cocultures with the standard starting density. This difference was not statistically significant. To test whether c-fms-induced neuroprotection might be a result of nonspecific activation of BV-2 cells in combination with increased cell density, we compared cocultures containing LPS-treated BV-2 cells using the standard starting density to cocultures containing a density typically present in cocultures with c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells. NMDA-induced neurotoxicity in cocultures containing an increased density of LPS-treated BV-2 cells was 93.26% (SD, 20.5) of that in cocultures containing the standard BV-2 starting density. This difference was not statistically significant.

It has been proposed that increased microglial M-CSF and M-CSFR expression is a cause of CP-induced neurotoxicity (Hao et al., 2001, 2002). We directly tested the role of increased M-CSFR expression on CP-induced neuronal injury. Overexpression of c-fms rendered BV-2 cells neuroprotective in coculture with CP-injured slices. Neuronal injury in cocultures containing c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells was decreased by an average of 98% in comparison with cocultures containing wild-type or control plasmid-transfected BV-2 cells (Fig. 6A) (Scheffé-corrected p < 0.05) but was not significantly different from that observed in untreated slice cultures. We also noted increased proliferation of c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells when cultured with CP-injured slices (data not shown). Rather than promoting CP-induced neurotoxicity, microglial overexpression of the M-CSFR may be protective. These results also show that the neuroprotective effect of microglial M-CSFR overexpression is not limited to NMDA-induced injury.

To determine whether the neuroprotective effects of c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells were dependent on close proximity between exogenous BV-2 microglia and cells endogenous to the slice culture, we compared the direct coculture system (one-level) (Fig. 1A) to cocultures in which the BV-2 cells were grown on the bottom of the culture plate well and not in contact with the organotypic culture grown on the Millicell membrane above (two-level) (Fig. 1B). Results showed that c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells in the two-level system provided no neuroprotection in comparison with control or LPS-treated BV-2 cells (Fig. 6B, right plot) (ANOVA; p > 0.10). In parallel one-level cocultures with c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells, the characteristic decrease in NMDA neurotoxicity was observed as expected (Fig. 6B, left plot) (Scheffé-adjusted p < 0.05).

To characterize the transcriptional response of neurons subjected to excitotoxic injury in coculture with exogenous micro-
glial cells, we used laser capture microdissection. We found a marked increase in expression of M-CSF and the M-CSFR in neurons captured from NMDA-treated hippocampal cultures grown with microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR compared with cultures grown with control or LPS-treated BV-2 cells (Fig. 7A, B) (Scheffé-adjusted \( p < 0.05 \)). LPS-treated BV-2 cells resulted in a significant increase in neuronal BDNF (Fig. 7C) (Scheffé-adjusted \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas the increase induced by microglial M-CSF overexpression was not statistically significant. Pretreatment of BV-2 cells with LPS resulted in a significant increase in the neuronal expression of the NTRK2 full-length isoform, but coculture with cells overexpressing the M-CSFR caused a further significant increase in neuronal expression of this isoform (Fig. 7D) (Scheffé-adjusted \( p < 0.05 \)). Significantly increased expression of the BDNF receptor isoform NTRK2 T1 was seen only in cultures containing BV-2 cells overexpressing the M-CSFR (Figs. 7E) (Scheffé-adjusted \( p < 0.05 \)), whereas the LPS-induced change was not significant. The purity of the captured neuronal cells was verified with realtime RT-PCR. Whereas expression of NF-H was strong (CT value of 28 cycles), real-time RT-PCR signals for the glial markers GFAP, S100β, and CD11b were not above background levels.

To test whether M-CSF expression by c-fms-transfected BV-2 microglia in the coculture system was essential for neuroprotection, we used a hammerhead ribozyme to decrease M-CSF production by the exogenous c-fms-expressed cells. Results showed an average 56% decrease in M-CSF mRNA by BV-2 cells treated with the M-CSF ribozyme, which was significantly less than that for control cells and significantly less than that for cells treated with a control ribozyme (Fig. 8A) (Scheffé-corrected \( p < 0.05 \) for both comparisons). In cocultures treated with NMDA, after transfection of BV-2 cells with the M-CSF hammerhead ribozyme, there was an average 53% decrease in c-fms-induced neuroprotection (Fig. 8B) (Scheffé-corrected \( p < 0.05 \)). There was no significant loss of neuroprotection in cocultures with c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells treated with the control ribozyme. Because the M-CSF ribozyme inhibited microglial proliferation, we also tested cocultures that contained the same density of ribozyme-treated BV-2 cells as was found in a typical coculture with c-fms-transfected cells. Increased BV-2 cell density did not significantly change the inhibitory effects of the ribozyme on c-fms-induced microglial neuroprotection.

**Discussion**

Our results demonstrate that in a microglial–organotypic coculture system and after biolistic transfection of microglia in organotypic cultures, overexpression of the M-CSFR on microglia protects neurons in the slice cultures from excitotoxic and teratogen-induced injury. We showed previously that M-CSFR overexpression markedly alters microglial cytokine production, including upregulation of M-CSF, the ligand for the M-CSFR. Inhibition of M-CSF expression in exogenous microglia with a hammerhead ribozyme attenuated the neuroprotective effect of microglial M-CSF overexpression in the coculture system, indicating that autocrine and/or paracrine effects of this cytokine may be important in neuroprotection. The lack of effect with a control ribozyme makes it unlikely that the ribozyme cotransfection procedure alone was responsible for the loss of neuroprotective properties induced by M-CSF overexpression. Microglia pretreated with LPS, which were not neuroprotective, lacked increased M-CSFR expression.

Although there is substantial evidence that activated microglia can have negative effects in neurologic disease (Spranger and Fontana, 1996; McGeer and McGeer, 1998; Glass and Wesselingh, 2001; Bamberger and Landreth, 2002; Garden, 2002), evidence exists that under certain circumstances, microglia can be neuroprotective. Several groups have found that microglia express the glutamate uptake transporter, which may help micro-
glia protect nerve cells during excitotoxic injury (Kondo et al., 1995; Lopez-Redondo et al., 2000; Nakajima et al., 2001; Schwartz et al., 2003). Tong et al. (2000) demonstrated that in a coculture model, migration of macrophages toward neurons after administration of the human immunodeficiency virus-related toxin platelet-activating factor was neuroprotective. Watanabe et al. (2000) found that microglial supernatants protected dissociated neurons from excitotoxic injury. In another coculture model, microglial–neuronal contact changed the microglial phenotype from toxic to protective (Zietlow et al., 1999). Grafting of microglia into injured spinal cord results in neurite outgrowth and other regenerative neuronal responses (Rabchevsky and Streit, 1997). Microglial activation was recently associated with better neuronal survival in an optic nerve crush injury model (Shaked et al., 2004), possibly through recruitment of neuroprotective T-cells to the site of CNS injury (Schwartz and Kipnis, 2004). Non-neuronal cells, including microglia, appear to protect neurons in an animal model for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Clement et al., 2003). This and other evidence has led some authors to conclude that an entirely negative role for microglia is probably incorrect (Streit, 2002; Nakajima and Kohsaka, 2004).
Microglial cytokines may have neurotrophic effects under certain conditions. For example, it was shown recently that microglial tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) release induced by activation of the microglia P2X\(_7\) receptor is neuroprotective (Suzuki et al., 2004). We found previously that M-CSF applied directly to organotypic hippocampal cultures protects against excitotoxicity (Vincent et al., 2002a), and similar results have been obtained in vivo (Berezovskaya et al., 1996). Likewise, deletion of M-CSF expression renders neurons vulnerable to injury (Berezovskaya et al., 1995; Bruccoleri and Harry, 2000). The mechanism for M-CSF neuroprotection remains unclear. For microglia transfected to overexpress the M-CSFR cocultured with hippocampal slices, microglial M-CSF could be directly neuroprotective. Or, M-CSF could stimulate exogenous microglia to produce neuroprotectants by autocrine or paracrine mechanisms, or it could stimulate non-neuronal cells in the slice culture to express neuroprotectants or to decrease production of neurotoxins.

Microglial cytokines could also modify gene expression in neurons. Using pure samples of laser-captured slice culture hippocampal neurons, we found that only neuroprotective c-fms-transfected BV-2 cells induced increased expression of neuronal M-CSF and M-CSFR in NMDA-treated cultures. This may result in neuronal self-protection through autocrine and paracrine M-CSF signaling. Some, but not all, studies have reported neuronal expression of the M-CSFR that varies depending on age and anatomic region (Murase and Hayashi, 1998; Raivich et al., 1998). Injury induces neuronal M-CSFR expression locally (Wang et al., 1999). In our coculture system, excitotoxic injury strongly induced neuronal M-CSFR expression when activated microglia are present, which could augment the neurotrophic properties of microglial M-CSF.

Microglia may induce other potentially self-protective neuronal responses. Activation of NMDA receptors increases expression of BDNF and subsequent activation of NTRK2, resulting in neuroprotection in cerebellar granule cell cultures via an autocrine survival loop (Jiang et al., 2003). In our coculture system, only LPS-treated BV-2 cells significantly increased neuronal BDNF expression in coculture. Yet, neurons from cultures containing c-fms-transfected microglia showed significantly greater expression of the NTRK2 full-length and T1 isoforms than did neurons from cultures containing LPS-pretreated cells. Whereas BDNF binding to full-length NTRK2 containing the tyrosine kinase domain is classically associated with neuroprotection (Rossler et al., 2004), the NTRK2 T1 truncated receptor is said to inhibit the effects of the full-length form (Haapasalo et al., 2002). However, NTRK2 T1 has been shown recently to promote dendritic growth (Yacoubian and Lo, 2000; Hartmann et al., 2004), indicating ligand-independent trophic effects of this isoform. Increased neuronal neurotrophin receptor expression induced by c-fms-transfected microglia could serve to protect nerve cells from excitotoxicity.

Conditioned medium from neurons injured by the teratogen CP was reported to increase microglial expression of M-CSF and the M-CSFR, leading to production of other inflammatory effectors by microglia (Hao et al., 2001, 2002). It was proposed that this microglial response augments CP-induced neurotoxicity. We directly tested whether microglia with increased expression of M-CSF and M-CSFR resulted in neuronal injury. In our system, increased expression of M-CSF and its receptor by microglia actually protected neurons from CP-induced injury. These results demonstrate that microglial activation need not result in neurotoxicity and that neuroprotection induced by microglial overexpression of the M-CSFR occurs with at least two distinct forms of neurotoxicity: NMDA and CP.

We considered the possibility that neuroprotection induced by increased M-CSFR on microglia might occur only in the coculture system. Biolistic transfection was used to overexpress the M-CSFR on microglia endogenous to the slice culture using
methods that we have described previously (Mitrasinovic et al., 2004). Results were similar to those obtained with the coculture system. Overexpression of EGFP by endogenous microglia did not result in neuroprotection, suggesting that ectopic expression alone is not sufficient to confer neuroprotective properties.

Coculture of microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR with NMDA-treated organotypic cultures resulted in greater proliferation of exogenous microglia than did coculture with untreated slices. A chemotactic response toward the injured slice was also observed. These responses were not observed when exogenous microglia were treated with LPS. We speculated that neuroprotection could be conferred by microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR merely as a result of increased cell numbers. However, when nontransfected BV-2 cells were seeded at an initial density equal to that of c-fms-transfected cells at the end of the experimental period, there was no neuroprotective effect. This suggests that the neuroprotective effects of microglia M-CSFR overexpression are not attributable primarily to increased cell numbers. Interestingly, LPS-treated BV-2 cells, even when seeded at increased density, showed neither a neurotoxic nor a neuroprotective effect in this system.

It is curious that LPS pretreatment of BV-2 microglia did not enhance NMDA neuronal toxicity, because LPS-activated microglia when cocultured with monotypic neurons can result in neurotoxicity (Xie et al., 2004). Aschner et al. (1999) suggested that neurons in dissociated cultures are particularly susceptible to negative effects of microglia as a result of the absence of normal neuronal-glial interactions. In fact, several reports suggest that astrocytic and neuronal factors are key in determining the toxic potential of microglia (Zietlow et al., 1999; Hailer et al., 2001; Smits et al., 2001).

We found that the neuroprotective effect of exogenous microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR occurred only when the microglia were in direct physical contact with the organotypic culture. In the one-level coculture system, some microglia come into direct contact with neurons (Mitrasinovic et al., 2001), although the majority remain on the surface of the slice culture. The local concentration of soluble factors such as M-CSF may be much higher when BV-2 cells are in direct contact with the slice culture than when diluted in the large volume of culture medium of the two-level system. Within tissue, cytokines can likely influence cells within a domain of up to 250 μm surrounding the effector cell (Francis and Palsson, 1997), which is approximately the thickness of a mature slice culture. Microglia on the surface of the slice are likely to have paracrine effects on cells located internally. Furthermore, in addition to a soluble form, M-CSF exists as a membrane-bound glycoprotein and extracellular matrix-bound proteoglycan that are biologically active (Fixe and Praloran, 1997). These M-CSF forms participate in cell adhesion and activation (Uemura et al., 1993; Yao et al., 2003) and could be important in neuroprotection. Membrane- or extracellular-bound M-CSF would not affect neurons or other cells in the slice culture in the two-level system, resulting in loss of neuroprotection.

In summary, we demonstrated that microglia overexpressing the M-CSFR protect neurons against excitotoxicity and teratogen-induced injury in a microglial-organotypic coculture system and after biologic expression of the M-CSFR on microglia endogenous to the slice culture. It is unclear whether microglia showing increased expression of the M-CSFR could be neuroprotective in intact brain. However, because the M-CSFR expression is increased on microglia in AD, additional investigation of the effects of signaling through this receptor on microglial phenotype is warranted.
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